



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/676,697

09/30/2003

Rahul Gupta

12406-170001

8145

26181 7590 06/15/2007
FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.
PO BOX 1022
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022

EXAMINER

THOMPSON, CAMIE S

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1774

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

06/15/2007

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/676,697	Applicant(s) GUPTA ET AL.	
	Examiner Camie S. Thompson	Art Unit 1774	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on Amendments filed 2/12/07, 3/29/07.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1,2,5,8,10,11,13-21,24,25 and 28-32 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 15-21 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-2, 5, 8, 10-11, 13-14, 24-25 and 28-31 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 32 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant's amendment and accompanying remarks filed February 12, 2007 and March 29, 2007 are acknowledged.
2. Examiner acknowledges amended claims 1, 2, 5, 10-11, 24 and 32.
3. Examiner acknowledges cancelled claims 4, 7, 9, 22-23 and 26-27.
4. The rejection of claims 1-2, 4-5, 7-14 and 24-32 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph is overcome by applicant's amendment.
5. The rejection of claims 1-2, 5, 7, 24-25, 28 and 30-32 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Yamazaki, U.S. Pre Grant Publication 2001/0031509 is withdrawn due to applicant's argument.
6. The rejection of claims 1-2, 5, 8-11, 13-14 and 24-32 under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Burroughs et al., U.S. Patent Number 6,897,473 is withdrawn due to applicant's argument.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

8. Claims 1-2, 24-25 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Young et al., U.S. Patent Number 6,720,090.

Art Unit: 1774

Young discloses an organic light emitting diode that comprises a substrate, an anode and a cathode disposed over the substrate; a luminescent layer disposed between the anode and the cathode wherein the luminescent layer includes a host (see column 3, line 66-column 4, line 15). Additionally, the reference discloses that the host serves as the medium or matrix for the transport and recombination of charge carriers. It is disclosed by Young that there are two host components. Column 7, lines 33-39 of the reference discloses that the second host component can serve as a hole trap, an electron trap or both. Also, Young discloses that trapping injected carriers promotes electron-hole recombination in the second host component. Young discloses that the second host compound has a bandgap that is less than that of the first component (see column 7, lines 22-31). Column 11, lines 40-55 of the reference discloses that the first host component constitutes the largest volume fraction, followed by the second host component.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

10. Claims 5, 8, 10-11, 13-14 and 29-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Young et al., U.S. Patent Number 6,720,090.

Young discloses an organic light emitting diode that comprises a substrate, an anode and a cathode disposed over the substrate; a luminescent layer disposed between the anode and the cathode wherein the luminescent layer includes a host (see column 3, line 66-column 4, line 15).

Art Unit: 1774

Additionally, the reference discloses that the host serves as the medium or matrix for the transport and recombination of charge carriers. It is disclosed by Young that there are two host components. Column 7, lines 33-39 of the reference discloses that the second host component can serve as a hole trap, an electron trap or both. Also, Young discloses that trapping injected carriers promotes electron-hole recombination in the second host component. Young discloses that the second host compound has a bandgap that is less than that of the first component (see column 7, lines 22-31). Column 11, lines 40-55 of the reference discloses that the first host component constitutes the largest volume fraction, followed by the second host component. Young does not specifically disclose third, fourth, fifth and sixth energy barriers. However, Young does disclose host components wherein one of the host components acts as an electron trap and/or hole trap and light emission occurs due to recombination at the second host component and would therefore have third, fourth, fifth and sixth energy barriers in order to reduce hole mobility and electron mobility.

11. Claim 32 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. The prior art does not provide for the recited emissive layer that has a thermal energy of 0.0259 eV at a temperature of 300K.


Response to Arguments

12. Applicant's arguments with respect to the instant claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 1774

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be directed to Camie S. Thompson whose telephone number is (571) 272-1530. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 7:30 am to 4:00 pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rena L Dye, can be reached at (571) 272-3186. The fax phone number for the Group is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).


RENA DYE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
AU 1774